

STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2000-748

February 7, 2001

CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY
Request for Approval of Special Rate
Contract with FJ O'Hara Corporation

ORDER

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

SUMMARY OF DECISION

By this Order, we find that the Customer Service Agreement (CSA) between Central Maine Power Company (CMP) and FJ O'Hara Corporation (O'Hara) is reasonable.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION

On September 5, 2000, CMP filed with this Commission a proposed CSA with O'Hara. This CSA supercedes a previous First Amendment to the bundled CSA that provided unbundled pricing and expired in April (granted temporary approval by Order of the Acting Director of Technical Analysis dated March 7, 2000 in Docket No. 2000-210) and a CSA that provided unbundled pricing and expired in August (granted temporary approval by Order of the Acting Director of Technical Analysis dated May 25, 2000 in Docket No. 2000-448).¹ On October 5, 2000 the instant CSA went into effect automatically pursuant to Revised Attachment F approved by Commission Order dated July 13, 1999 in Docket No. 99-155.

We have since conducted a review of the contract terms. Based on our review, we have determined that this CSA is reasonable. Therefore, we grant final approval of this Agreement pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 703(3-A).

¹ Pursuant to the terms of the temporary approval, final approval is applicable to the going-forward period of the contract only. Therefore, because the First Amendment and the next CSA (approved in Docket Nos. 2000-210 and 2000-448, respectively) have already expired, there is no need to grant final approval of them.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 7th day of February, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dennis L. Keschl
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR:

Welch
Nugent
Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.